SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the even docker breet. (BEE 1	THE REVERSE OF THE PORTS	f.				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE OF ENVIROWORK INC., and ENVIROWORKS, INC.			DEFENDANTS COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC., and AEGIS SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY			
(b) c cp :1	CE: A L A L DI CACC		G	07' . I' . ID 0 . I	LANCASTER	
. ,	e of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	<del></del>	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES		
			1	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Thomas J. Anderson, P.C. L.L.O., 12020 Shamrock Plaza, Suite 333			Attorneys (If Known)			
Omaha, NE 68154-3537	. L.L.O., 12020 Snamrock Plaza, Suite 3	533,				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	<b>DICTION</b> (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		P	FF DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1		
2 U.S. Government	3 4 Diversity	Citiz	en of Another State	2	Principal Place 5 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In	Another State	
	(======================================	Citize	en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	<b>1</b> 6 <b>1</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SU				PANIZBURGY	OTHER CTATUTES	
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	TORTS  PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY  17 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Inju		0 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malprac		25 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability		of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen			30 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Produc		50 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability		60 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROP ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment			LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	B 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Persona	al 🗆 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Dame Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Dame		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability			20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury		& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETIT  441 Voting 510 Motions to Va		10 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Va ☐ 442 Employment Sentence		00 Other Labor Litigation 01 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations   530 General			26 USC 7609	Act	
<ul><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li><li>290 All Other Real Property</li></ul>	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus &		IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Application	ĺ	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
250 An Other Real Property	Employment		33 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condit		Alien Detainee	İ	☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights	□ 46	55 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
	13 440 Onici Civii Rights		Actions			
№ 1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) temoved from	☐ 4 Rein	nened anothe	ferred from G 6 Multidister district Litigation	Magistrate	
4	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	1	(speci	19)	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:	Pro: 1	0.07-2-12:			
VII. REQUESTED IN			EMANDS	CHECK VES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:			and the second s	ATTY JURY DEMAND	The state of the s	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY	OF RECORD			
12.23.11	Ihom 1	(u)	land			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		4				
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFF	P	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
FOR THE USE OF	) CIVIL CASE NO
ENVIROWORKS, INC., and	)
ENVIROWORKS, INC.,	)
	) COMPLAINT
Plaintiffs	)
	)
VS.	)
	)
COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL	)
GROUP, INC. AEGIS SECURITY	)
INSURANCE COMPANY,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

Plaintiff United States of America for the Use and Benefit of Enviroworks, Inc., and Enviroworks, Inc. by and through counsel, Thomas J. Anderson, Esq. alleges as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction to Count I herein under the Miller Act, 40 U.S.C 3131 et seq.
- Venue is proper in this district because the contract which gives rise to this suit and all counts thereto, was performed, and was to be performed, within Douglas County, Nebraska.

- That pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332, this court has original diversity jurisdiction because there exists complete diversity between Plaintiff and Defendants and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 4. That pursuant to Nebraska Revised Statute 25-701, Plaintiff is permitted to join additional claims it has against Defendant Coastal Environmental Group, Inc., thereby Counts II through V are included herein.

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

#### COUNT I – NON-PAYMENT OF INVOICES

- Enviroworks, Inc, (hereinafter "Enviroworks") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nebraska with its principal office and place of business at 5934 South 25<sup>th</sup> St., Omaha, Nebraska, 68107.
- 6. Defendant Coastal Environmental Group, Inc. (hereinafter "Coastal") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with an office at 2411 Martha St., Omaha, NE 68105 and registered agent of CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 233 South 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1900, Lincoln, NE 68508.
- 7. Defendant Aegis Security Insurance Company, (hereinafter "Aegis") is a corporation duly authorized to engage in business of executing surety bonds in the State of Nebraska, with its registered office address according to the State of Pennsylvania Department of State and place of business to be located at 2407 Park Drive, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

- 8. That on or about March 1, 2007, Coastal entered into a contract in writing with the United States of America Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, Kansas City, Kansas, (hereinafter "EPA") to conduct remediation of soil contamination for the presence of lead minerals and associated services relating to lead paint removal, soil removal and landscaping replacement, as well as homeowner coordination and satisfaction reporting. Said contract was designated Contract No. EP- R7- 07- 05 and in the amount of \$15,677,760.00.
- 9. That pursuant to the terms of the contract, Coastal, as principal, and Aegis, as surety, executed and delivered to the EPA their bond, being Bond B10 010 290, conditioned as required by the Miller Act, for the protection of all persons supplying labor and materials in the fulfillment of the work provided in the contract.
- 10. On or about April 1, 2007, Environmental Services Group, a New York corporation with its principal location and place of business in Omaha, Nebraska, ("ESG"), and Coastal entered into a contract entitled "MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING" whereby ESG was to furnish certain labor and equipment required for the Omaha Lead Project, understood between the parties to be the Environmental Protection Agency Omaha Lead Site Remedial Action, Omaha, Nebraska.
- 11. That a copy of said Memorandum of Understanding contract is attached hereto, made a part hereof, and marked Exhibit A.
- 12. That ESG is the predecessor and assignor to Enviroworks, both wholly owned by Marcos Mateus, of Omaha, Nebraska, with Enviroworks being the accepted by

- Coastal as the complete assignee of all work, property and contracts of ESG in Nebraska.
- 13. That over the course of subsequent years, another contract was made between EPA and Coastal, being Contract No. EP-R7-09-06 in the amount of \$20,204,100.00.
- 14. That Enviroworks continued to perform on the same conditions and manner for EP-R7-09-06, invoiced and was to be paid the same.
- 15. That discussions and communications were made between the Coastal and Enviroworks in the year 2011 in connection with changes to the contract requested by Coastal, but no different terms than the Memorandum of Understanding, Exhibit A, were ever agreed, and operations continued according to the April 1, 2007 agreement.
- 16. The reasonable total value of such labor and material for the duration of the project to date by ESG and Enviroworks is \$4,270,178.70 and such amount was properly and timely invoiced to Coastal.
- 17. That all work has been completed by ESG and Enviroworks as required under the terms of the contract, until such times and under such circumstances as set forth in Counts III and IV herein.
- 18. That Coastal has paid ESG and Enviroworks \$3,949,957.84 on said invoices, leaving a balance of \$320,220.86.
- 19. Notwithstanding Envirowork's demands for payments, and submission to Aegis under a claim on the bond, no additional money has been paid to Plaintiff since June 22, 2011.

- 20. That Enviroworks filed a claim under the payment bond on August 30, 2011, receipt of which was acknowledged by Aegis.
- 21. That it appears to Plaintiff that Aegis did no claim investigation and had no substantive communication with Enviroworks after the submission of the claim.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment against Defendants Coastal and Aegis in the amount of \$320,220.86 plus judgment interest until paid.

COMES NOW Plaintiff Enviroworks, Inc., to hereby submit the following Counts II, III, IV and V against Defendant Coastal, Inc., for its claims relating to contractual matters with venue proper in Douglas County, Nebraska based upon the contract performed, or to be performed in Douglas County, Nebraska.

#### COUNT II- NON-PAYMENT OF PROJECT PROFIT SHARE

- 22. That Plaintiff re-alleges counts 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 of the Complaint.
- 23. That on or about April 1, 2007, Plaintiff and Defendant agreed, as per the Memorandum of Understanding included as Exhibit C herein, that additional compensation would be paid to ESG by Coastal for management services in Omaha to assist and direct the project for Coastal's behalf since Richard Silva, principle of Coastal, lives in the State of New York and was an absentee operator.
- 24. That as compensation for such services and assistance, ESG was to receive 30% of the profit from the EPA contracts in the following manner 20% from the net

- profit at the end of each calendar year, and 10% by adding amounts to Enviroworks invoices.
- 25. That the net profit was clearly defined in the said Memorandum of Understanding as "the difference between the payments received by Coastal from the EPA and Coastal's Direct Project Costs (hereinafter the "Net Profit.)".
- 26. That direct projects costs were clearly defined in said Memorandum of Understanding as to
  - "...include, but are not limited to, payroll and payroll taxes, all subcontractor costs, all material and vendor cost, per diem, lodging, and transportation expenses (hereinafter the "Direct Project Costs"). Overhead ("OH") and general and administrative ("G & A" costs are not direct costs and are to be recovered through the applicable OH and G & A rates as used in the bid."
- 27. That ESG and Coastal agreed to alter the agreement regarding the 10% addition to invoices, as it became apparent to them at the onset that such addition would not properly make the 30% accurate.
- 28. That in lieu of the additional 10% on invoices, as originally agreed, the parties agreed that Plaintiff would be entitled to the full 30%, but that amount was never paid in full.
- 29. That Defendant has refused to give a final accounting or annual accountings in connection with the profits for the contract, and through discovery should be compelled to give a full, complete and fair accounting of all income and expenses of the project to determine the exact amount owing.

- 30. That Plaintiff acknowledges that it received \$25,000.00 on August 8, 2008, and \$190,000 on May 11, 2009, as partial payments on the project profit share, which should be deducted from the final amount due.
- 31. That the balance due is unascertained, but is believed to be approximately \$1,700,000.00 additional.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enviroworks prays for an order compelling disclosure of proper accounting if not supplied through discovery, and after discovery and a showing to the court, a judgment against Defendant Coastal in an amount to be determined by the Court but believed to be approximately \$1,700,000.00, plus judgment interest until paid.

## COUNT III- DAMAGES FOR LOST FUTURE PROFITS AS SUBCONTRACTOR

- 32. That Plaintiff re-alleges counts 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 of the Complaint
- 33. That during the year 2011, Coastal properly paid the sum of \$346,837.46 to Enviroworks on invoices from Enviroworks, but Enviroworks is unpaid for 2011 billings in the sum of \$259,166.01.
- 34. That the excessive shortfall in payments from Coastal caused Enviroworks to have to lay off most of its employees and not be able to continue to perform on its contract with Coastal.
- 35. That immediately after Enviroworks notified its employees of the layoff, Coastal hired and used the Enviroworks employees as its own and continued to perform the work that Enviroworks was entitled to do.

- 36. That the action by Coastal to force Enviroworks to lay off its employees and continue the project was a wrongful taking of the net profits of Enviroworks as a subcontractor.
- 37. That Enviroworks is entitled to compensation from Coastal for its lost profits from being forced off the project by Coastal.
- 38. That the Court should award a judgment in favor of Enviroworks and against Coastal in an amount to be determined, in an amount estimated to be no less that \$200,00.00 for the lost profit as subcontractor from the remaining 2011 project season.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enviroworks prays for an order compelling disclosure of proper accounting if not supplied through discovery, and after discovery and a showing to the court, a judgment against Defendant Coastal for lost profits in an amount to be determined by the Court but believed to be approximately \$200,000.00 plus judgment interest until paid.

#### COUNT IV – DAMAGES FOR LOST FUTURE PROFIT AS PROJECT PARTNER

- 39. That Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 of the Complaint.
- 40. That pursuant to the agreement between Coastal and Enviroworks, Enviroworks is entitled to 30% of the project profit and would have made that profit but for the wrongful non-payment from Coastal to Enviroworks that caused Enviroworks to have to withdraw from the project.

- 41. That the wrongful non-payment on the prior years' project profit by Coastal and non-payment of invoices by Coastal are breaches of contract by Coastal and are the proximate cause of Enviroworks losing the project profits for the duration of the EPA contract performed by Coastal.
- 42. That the Court should award a judgment in favor of Enviroworks and against Coastal in an amount to be determined, in an amount estimated to be no less that \$200,00.00 for the lost profit from the remaining 2011 project season.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enviroworks prays for an order compelling disclosure of proper accounting if not supplied through discovery, and after discovery and a showing to the court, a judgment against Defendant Coastal for lost future profits in an amount to be determined by the Court but believed to be approximately \$200,000.00, plus judgment interest until paid.

#### COUNT V- TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE

- 43. That Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 5, 6, 8,10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, and 41 of the Complaint.
- 44. That the action by Coastal to force Enviroworks to close operation was wrongful and tortious and intentional.
- 45. That the tortious interference with the Enviroworks employees and contract was designed to force Enviroworks off the job.

- 46. That such tortuous interference by Coastal caused damage and harm to Enviroworks business.
- 47. That such intentional tortious actions against Enviroworks by Coastal should be sanctioned and punished by the court by assessing punitive damages and attorney fees against Coastal.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enviroworks prays for a judgment for actual and punitive damages, and attorney fees against Coastal for the tortious interference with the business relationships and contractual expectations of Enviroworks, plus judgment interest until paid.

Dated this 23 day of December, 2011.

Respectfully Submitted,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE OF ENVIROWORKS, INC., and ENVIROWORKS, INC., Plaintiffs,

Thomas J. Anderson, Esq. #22779

THOMAS J. ANDERSON, P.C., L.L.O.

12020 Shamrock Plaza, Suite 333

Omaha, NE 68154

402-884-4430 phone

888-701-6190 fax

lawyers@cox.net

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

## MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

This Memorandum of Understanding effective as of April 01, 2007, by and among Coastal Environmental Group, Inc., a New York corporation with offices at 1 A S. Chicago Avenue, Bay Shore, New York 11706 ("Coastal"), and Environmental Service Group, Inc. (d/b/a Environmental Service Group, Inc. of New York) a New York corporation with offices at 21-67 46<sup>th</sup> Street Astoria, New York 11105 ("ESG").

## A. The Omaha Lead Project

### 1. Background

On March 21, 2007 Coastal was awarded contract number EP-R7-07-05 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, (the "EPA") for Omaha Lead Site Remedial Action, Omaha, Nebraska (the "Omaha Lead Project"). Coastal wishes to retain ESG to provide Coastal with certain services in connection with the Omaha Lead Project.

#### 2. Summary of Terms

ESG will act as a subcontractor to Coastal on the Omaha Lead Project. ESG shall perform such subcontract work as the parties shall agree upon. For services rendered, ESG will submit bi-weekly invoices to Coastal which shall be due and payable by Coastal within seven (7) calendar days of the date of each such invoice.

Marcos Mateus, President of ESG shall receive a salary of \$2,000 per week from Coastal in connection with the Omaha Lead Project. Coastal shall also be responsible to reimburse ESG for 110 percent of all Direct Project Costs related to the Omaha Lead Project. Direct Project Costs include, but are not limited to, payroll & payroll taxes, all subcontractor costs, all material or vendor costs, per diem, lodging, and transportation expenses (hereinafter the "Direct Project Costs"). Overhead ("OH") and general and administrative ("G&A") costs are not direct costs and are to be recovered through the applicable OH and G&A rates as used in the bid.

ESG shall provide project management services to Coastal in return for which ESG shall receive from Coastal an annual bonus equal to 20% of the net profit for the Omaha Lead Project. The net profit is defined as the difference between the payments received by Coastal from the EPA and Coastal's Direct Project Costs (hereinafter the "Net Profit"). The bonus shall be computed as soon as reasonably practicable following the end of the contract year.



## B. Formal Written Agreements

The parties agree that they will enter into more formal written agreements containing customary terms and further evidencing their understanding as set for the herein. However, until such time, this Memorandum of Understanding is an enforceable agreement between the parties. Any and all changes to this Memorandum of Understanding shall be in writing and signed by the parties hereto. No oral agreement or modification shall be binding or effective upon any party who has not signed a written agreement to modify this Memorandum of Understanding. This Memorandum of Understanding contains the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements, written or oral, with respect thereto.

Both parties agree to target finalization of formal agreements by November 1, 2007.

## C. Governing Law/Jurisdiction

The parties to this Memorandum of Understanding agree to the application of the substantive law of the State of New York for enforcement and/or interpretation of this Memorandum of Understanding and for the resolution of all issues and disputes concerning this Memorandum of Understanding and/or the rights and obligations of the parties hereto. The parties hereby irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the appropriate State or Federal Court located in New York County, State of New York in any action, suit, or proceeding brought against or relating to or in connection with this Memorandum of Understanding or any transaction contemplated hereby.

#### D. Term

The Parties acknowledge that the Omaha Lead Project may be renewed beyond the first year at the option of the EPA. However, the parties agree that his Memorandum of Understanding will expire on December 31, 2007. All amounts due hereunder shall remain due and owing despite the expiration of this Memorandum of Understanding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Memorandum of Understanding to be executed and delivered as of the date first above written.

## COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC.

By: Richard C. Silva, President

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE GROUP, INC.

Marcos Mateus, President